IC maps paint a picture of standards in action

BY MACHEL MILLS-MILES

Translating the vision of Standards for Professional Learning into daily practice can be challenging. To guide educators through the process, Learning Forward has created Innovation Configuration (IC) maps to show what it looks like for stakeholders to implement the standards within their specific roles and responsibilities.

IC maps are valuable tools that describe the major components that need to be in place for an innovation to succeed, acknowledging that individual stakeholders adapt new practices as they implement them and grow into full implementation (Hall & Hord, 2010; Hord et al., 2006). Innovation Configurations were born out of the recognition that stakeholders typically implement innovations in a variety of ways and stages of development, so the maps show a progression of specific behaviors from the early
stages of implementation to ideal implementation.

The IC maps for Standards for Professional Learning bring detail and specificity to the concepts presented in the standards and describe actions for educators in roles such as system/central office leaders, principals, coaches, and external providers. They are not intended to prescribe every move educators should make to ensure high-quality professional learning; rather, they present high-leverage actions and behaviors that are most likely to lead to improved outcomes.

IC maps can be used to guide the planning, implementation, monitoring, and evaluation of professional learning. When used collaboratively, they foster dialogue around best practices and provide direction for growth related to professional learning.

We encourage you to familiarize yourself with the IC maps through the sample we have included here, which goes into depth about how central office leaders can address the Implementation standard. Then we invite you to explore the interactive IC maps specific to your role on our website by going to standards.learningforward.org and clicking on “Action Guides.” You’ll see the Action Guides for several roles, and IC maps included with the guides for system/central office leaders, principals, coaches, and external providers.

Once you’ve selected the role you want to explore, take the following steps:

- Select the “IC maps: Levels of implementation” button.
- Next, select one of the 11 standards.
- Continue by choosing one of the three constructs for that standard. Each standard covers three key constructs or concepts in depth; for the IC maps, those concepts serve as a means of breaking down the standard into further detail to allow educators to investigate specific actions.
- Then, select a desired outcome for that construct. The desired outcome is an observable impact.
- Finally, you’ll see a table with the levels of implementation listed for that outcome. The levels progress from ideal to entry, and therefore outline a possible path of progress over time. Note that some outcomes have more levels of implementation than others.

IC maps have been a powerful tool for implementing Standards for Professional Learning for many years. As you delve into the newly revised standards, we look forward to hearing from you about how they are informing your work and making a difference for your educators and students.
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EXAMPLE OF AN IC MAP FOR THE IMPLEMENTATION STANDARD

This Innovation Configuration map below presents descriptions of behaviors that central office leaders and staff can take to implement one concept, or construct, of the Implementation standard: engaging in feedback processes.

This IC map zooms in one of the three desired outcomes for that construct: facilitating systemwide feedback processes to accelerate and refine implementation of professional learning. The rows of the table represent specific behaviors or actions educators should take to meet that desired outcome.

For each behavior (row), the IC map details four levels of action. The columns represent those four levels. In contrast to many progressions, the highest degree of impact is level 1 (ideal implementation) and is listed at the left of the progression. The degree of impact descends to level 4 (entry-level implementation) from left to right.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Level 1 (Ideal)</strong></td>
<td><strong>Level 2</strong></td>
<td><strong>Level 3</strong></td>
<td><strong>Level 4 (Entry)</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Identifies and makes available, to all schools, in-person, blended, and technology-based strategies and tools to support feedback processes.</td>
<td>Identifies and makes available, to select schools, in-person, blended, and technology-based strategies and tools to support feedback processes.</td>
<td>Identifies and makes available, to select departments, in-person, blended, and technology-based strategies and tools to support feedback processes.</td>
<td>Identifies in-person, blended, and technology-based strategies and tools to support feedback processes.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Uses in-person, blended, and technology-based strategies and tools to support feedback processes.</td>
<td>Uses in-person and blended strategies and tools to support feedback processes.</td>
<td>Uses in-person strategies and tools to support feedback processes.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Exchanges, with diverse internal and external stakeholders, perspectives and data on implementation of professional learning using tools and strategies for feedback processes.</td>
<td>Exchanges, with internal OR external stakeholders, perspectives and data on implementation of professional learning using tools and strategies for feedback processes.</td>
<td>Exchanges, with internal OR external stakeholders, perspectives and data on implementation of professional learning using tools and strategies for feedback processes.</td>
<td>Exchanges, with select individuals, perspectives and data on implementation of professional learning using tools and strategies for feedback processes.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shares, with internal AND external stakeholders, knowledge gained from feedback processes about implementation of systemwide professional learning.</td>
<td>Shares, with internal OR external stakeholders, knowledge gained from feedback processes about implementation of systemwide professional learning.</td>
<td>Shares, with select individuals, knowledge gained from feedback processes about implementation of systemwide professional learning.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>