



How to teach students to write

DIRECT INSTRUCTION EFFECTIVELY IMPROVES WRITING SKILLS

By Carla Thomas McClure

he quality of student writing increases when teachers show students how to divide the writing task into steps (i.e., planning, revising, editing), then teach how to accomplish each step, according to a 2007 research meta-analysis published by the Alliance for Excellent Education.

What instructional elements have been shown to improve student writing?

The 2007 Alliance review, authored by researchers Steve Graham and Delores Perin, identifies 11 instructional elements that have shown positive effects on writing quality among students in grades 4-12.

They are — in order of magnitude of effectiveness — explicit strategy instruction, summary writing, collaborative writing, specific product goals, word processing, sentence combining, prewriting, inquiry activities, process writing (e.g., creating a supportive writing environment and encouraging students to take ownership of the work), study of models, and writing for content-area learning.

Are these elements equally important for all students?

The authors of the Alliance study are quick to say their meta-analysis does not constitute a writing curriculum or reveal an "optimal way" to combine the various instructional elements.

Needs may vary among individual students, they say. Instruction in writing strategies, however, seems especially effective with low-achieving students.

Do students with learning disabilities need additional support?

Some students with learning disabilities do need additional support, concluded Linda H. Mason and Steve Graham in a 2008 article in *Learning Disabilities Research and Practice*. For students with learning disabilities who are not making adequate progress in a general education setting, the instructional elements identified in the Alliance study may need to be implemented outside of the general education setting, they say. These students also may need additional structure and support.

Based on the results of a research metaanalysis focused solely on writing interventions for grade 4-12 students with learning disabilities, Mason and Graham offer several recommendations:

Teach students to regulate their own writing

EDVANTIA

Carla Thomas McClure is a staff writer at Edvantia (www.edvantia.org), a nonprofit research and development organization that works with federal, state, and local education agencies to improve student achievement.







behaviors, such as goal setting and self-reinforcement, throughout the writing process.

- Use teacher-student and student-student conferences.
- Provide guided and independent practice across genres.
- Cognitively model the writing process (e.g., say aloud the things writers usually think as they write, such as, "I know there is a better way to say this, but I can think about that later, when I'm editing").
- Teach reading and writing together to support content learning.

References

Graham, S. & Perin, D. (2007). Writing next: Effective strategies to improve writing of adolescents in middle and high schools: A report to Carnegie Corporation of New York.

Washington, DC: Alliance for Excellent

What is a meta-analysis?

Meta-analysis is a way to summarize the collective results of multiple research studies. For example, the Graham and Perin meta-analysis mentioned in this article is based on 142 studies; the Mason and Graham review is based on 40 studies. When researchers do a meta-analysis, they use statistical methods to determine the strength and consistency of the particular educational practices included in the studies.

Education. Available at www.all4ed.org/files/WritingNext.pdf.

Mason, L.H. & Graham, S. (2008). Writing instruction for adolescents with learning disabilities: Programs of intervention research. *Learning Disabilities Research & Practice*, 23(2), 103-112. ◆

Teachers Teaching
Teachers (T3)™ is
published eight times
a year by the National
Staff Development
Council, 504 S. Locust
St., Oxford, OH 45056.
Copyright, NSDC, 2008.
All rights reserved.

MAIN BUSINESS OFFICE

504 S. Locust St. Oxford OH 45056 513-523-6029 800-727-7288 Fax: 513-523-0638 NSDCoffice@nsdc.org www.nsdc.org

Editor: Valerie von Frank

Designer: Kitty Black

NSDC STAFF

Executive director

Stephanie Hirsh stephanie.hirsh@nsdc.org

Deputy executive director

Joellen Killion joellen.killion@nsdc.org

Director of business services

Leslie Miller leslie.miller@nsdc.org

Distinguished senior fellow

Hayes Mizell hmizell@gmail.com

Emeritus executive director

Dennis Sparks dennis.sparks@comcast.net

Scholar laureate

Shirley Hord shirley.hord@nsdc.org

BOARD OF TRUSTEES

Karen Dyer (2009)

President dyerk@leaders.ccl.org

Ingrid Carney (2009)

icarney@boston.k2.ma.us

Sydnee Dickson (2008)

sydnee.dickson@schools.utah.gov

Cheryl Love (2010)

samsseed@aol.com

Charles Mason (2010)

President-elect masonc@mtnbrook.k12.al.us

Sue McAdamis (2008)

Past president mcadamissue@rockwood.k12.mo.us

James Roussin (2009)

jim.roussin@gmail.com

Sue Showers (2008)

cinsue@fuse.net

Ed Wittchen (2010)

ed.wittchen@telus.net

COPYING/REPRINT POLICY

All content in *Teachers Teaching Teachers (T3)* is copyright protected by the National Staff Development Council and may not be copied or reprinted without permission. Please see www.nsdc.org/library/publications/permpolicy.cfm for details as well as a form for submitting a request.

contact

Complete contact information for all staff and board members is available on the web site at www.nsdc.org/connect/about/index.cfm.